Pursuant to Ind.Appellate Rule 65(D), this Memorandum Decision shall not be regarded as precedent or cited before any court except for the purpose of establishing the defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, or the law of the case.

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT:

DONALD C. SWANSON, JR.

Fort Wayne, Indiana



ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLEE:

GREGORY F. ZOELLER

Attorney General of Indiana Indianapolis, Indiana

ALEX O. JAMES

Deputy Attorney General Indianapolis, Indiana

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF INDIANA

JONATHAN L. BENSON,)
Appellant-Defendant,)
VS.) No. 02A03-0805-CR-228
STATE OF INDIANA,)
Appellee-Plaintiff.	,)

APPEAL FROM THE ALLEN SUPERIOR COURT The Honorable Robert J. Schmoll, Magistrate Cause No. 02D04-0710-FD-830

MARCH 12, 2009

MEMORANDUM DECISION - NOT FOR PUBLICATION

GARRARD, Senior Judge

STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND ISSUE

Defendant-Appellant Jonathan L. Benson appeals the sentence imposed after he plead guilty to possession of marijuana, a Class D felony. We dismiss.

DISCUSSION AND DECISION

Following his guilty plea, Benson was given an executed sentence of 1½ years, with credit for 143 days already served. He was released from the Department of Correction on August 6, 2008.

Benson's appeal does not challenge the validity of his guilty plea. He contends, instead, that the trial court abused its discretion when it imposed the advisory sentence for his offense.

Because Benson has already been discharged, his challenge to his sentence is moot. *See Lee v. State*, 816 N.E.2d 35, 40 n. 2 (Ind. 2004); *Irwin v. State*, 744 N.E.2d 565, 568 (In. Ct. App. 2001); *Richardson v. State*, 402 N.E.2d 1012, 1013 (In. Ct. App. 1980). This is so because no relief can be granted inasmuch as he has already served his sentence and received good time credit.

CONCLUSION

For the aforementioned reason, this appeal must be dismissed as moot.

Dismissed.

DARDEN, J., and VAIDIK, J., concur.